City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

,		ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY REFASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS
Sub	mitted by:	Earl Pettiford
Phone #:		5231
Form Initiated Date:		
Complete by Date:		<u>1720/2011</u>
	Address:	3412 Columbus Avenue South
		cation Number (PIN): 02-028-2 <u>4-32-0045</u>
	Lot Size:	5,782 SF
	Current Use:	
		Vacant Structure since July 21, 2008
	Current Zoning:	•
	· •	use (include attachments as necessary): New single family dwelling
		of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None
		ator comments: The plan is to sell this site to the Greater Metropolitan Housing Corporation molish the existing structure and construct a single family home on this site for owner
	JECT COORDINA	TOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Section II. Zoning Review		
	<u>_</u>	illdable for any structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for any structure □
		nimum lot are for a SFD in the R2B district is 5,000 sq. ft; the minimum lot width is 40 ft.
		e applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6?
		If yes, what applications? <u>At minimum, administrative site plan review</u>
	be required.	in yes, what applications: <u>At thin inflative site planteview</u>
•	Comments:	
	· —	/ Pennucci Date: 7/27/2011
	ING STAFF:	EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Sec	tion III. Commu	unity Planning Review
		all area plan(s) in effect for parcel: <u>None</u>
13.	Future land use	designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: <u>Urban</u> <u>Existing zoning is guiding policy</u>
-	•	e proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?
		If no, why not?
	Does the City ov development?	wn adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger
•	Yes 🗌 No	If yes, explain possible development scenarios
16.	ls parcel identifie	ed in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?
	·	If Yes, what type of development?
Con	nments:	
Con	npleted by: Pa	ul Mogush Date: <u>8/2/2011</u>
	MUNITY PLANNE	-

City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Planning Director Review by: Barbara Sporlein Date: 8/4/2011 PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments by: Wes Butler Date: 8/4/2011 Comments: MF concurs with this sale. Single-Family Housing Staff Comments by: Elfric Porte, II Date: 8/4/2011 Comments: SF supports the development proposal. Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments by: Darrell Washington Date: 8/5/2011 Comments: Proposed development is consistent with approved real estate policies. **Business Development Staff Comments** by: Kristin Guild Date: 8/4/2011 Comments: Business Development concurs with the plan to redevelop this site for single family housing. by: Cathy Polasky Date: 8/23/2011 Economic Development Director Review PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for **HOLD** status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz Date: 8/23/2011

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.